

## THz near-field imaging of extreme subwavelength metal structures

Xinzhong Chen, Xiao Liu, Xiangdong Guo, Shu Chen, Hai Hu, Elizaveta Nikulina, Xinlin Ye, Ziheng Yao, Hans A. Bechtel, Michael C. Martin, G. Lawrence Carr, Qing Dai, Songlin Zhuang, Qing Hu, Yiming Zhu, Rainer Hillenbrand, Mengkun Liu, and Guanjun You

ACS Photonics, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsp Photonics.9b01534 • Publication Date (Web): 29 Jan 2020

Downloaded from [pubs.acs.org](https://pubs.acs.org) on February 2, 2020

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

# THz near-field imaging of extreme subwavelength metal structures

Xinzhong Chen<sup>1±</sup>, Xiao Liu<sup>2±</sup>, Xiangdong Guo<sup>3</sup>, Shu Chen<sup>4</sup>, Hai Hu<sup>3</sup>, Elizaveta Nikulina<sup>4</sup>, Xinlin Ye<sup>2</sup>, Ziheng Yao<sup>1,7</sup>, Hans A. Bechtel<sup>7</sup>, Michael C. Martin<sup>7</sup>, G. Lawrence Carr<sup>8</sup>, Qing Dai<sup>3\*</sup>, Songlin Zhuang<sup>2</sup>, Qing Hu<sup>9</sup>, Yiming Zhu<sup>2\*</sup>, Rainer Hillenbrand<sup>5,6</sup>, Mengkun Liu<sup>1,8\*</sup>, Guanjun You<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Shanghai Key Lab of Modern Optical Systems, Terahertz Technology Innovation Research Institute, and Engineering Research Center of Optical Instrument and System, Ministry of Education, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Division of Nanophotonics, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China*

<sup>4</sup>*CIC nanoGUNE, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain*

<sup>5</sup>*CIC nanoGUNE and UPV/EHU, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain*

<sup>6</sup>*IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation of Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain*

<sup>7</sup>*Advanced Light Source Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

<sup>8</sup>*National Synchrotron Light Source II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*

<sup>9</sup>*Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science and Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

±Those authors contribute equally.

\*mengkun.liu@stonybrook.edu

\*ymzhu@usst.edu.cn

\*gjyou@usst.edu.cn

\*daiq@nanoctr.cn

**Abstract:** Modern scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscopy (s-SNOM) has become an indispensable tool in material research. However, as the s-SNOM technique marches into the far-infrared (IR) and terahertz (THz) regimes, emerging experiments sometimes produce puzzling results. For example, “anomalies” in the near-field optical contrast have been widely reported. In this letter, we systematically investigate a series of extreme subwavelength metallic nanostructures via s-SNOM near-field imaging in the GHz to THz frequency range. We find that the near-field material contrast is greatly impacted by the lateral size of the nanostructure, while the spatial resolution is practically independent of it. The contrast is also strongly affected by the connectivity of the metallic structures to a larger metallic “ground plane”. The observed effect can be largely explained by a quasi-electrostatic analysis. We also compare the THz s-SNOM results to those of the mid-IR regime, where the size-dependence becomes significant only for smaller structures. Our results reveal that the quantitative analysis of the near-field optical material contrasts in the long-wavelength regime requires a careful assessment of the size and configuration of metallic (optically conductive) structures.

1  
2  
3 **Keywords:** scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscopy (s-SNOM), near-field, THz,  
4 nano-imaging, Schottky diodes  
5  
6  
7

8 The best spatial resolution that can possibly be achieved with a conventional optical microscope  
9 is fundamentally constrained by the diffraction limit to roughly half of the incident wavelength,  
10 prohibiting nanoscale optical characterization<sup>1</sup>. In an effort to overcome this limit, the scattering-  
11 type scanning near-field optical microscope (s-SNOM) was developed. The tip-scattered light  
12 conveys local sample information and is detected by a conventional far-field detector. In this case,  
13 the spatial resolution is determined predominantly by the tip apex radius, tapping amplitude, and  
14 demodulation order, and as such is essentially independent of the incident wavelength<sup>2</sup>. Since its  
15 introduction, s-SNOM nano-imaging and spectroscopy have aided numerous scientific advances  
16 such as direct spatial mapping of surface polaritons, spatial phase coexistence, and electromagnetic  
17 field localization<sup>3–11</sup>.  
18  
19  
20

21 The spectral region spanning 0.1-10 terahertz (THz) is commonly recognized as the “THz gap”  
22 because of technical difficulties in the generation and detection of intense coherent THz light<sup>12</sup>.  
23 Performing s-SNOM at THz frequencies poses even greater challenges: conventional AFM probes  
24 are extreme subwavelength objects, resulting in low coupling and scattering efficiency.  
25 Nevertheless, intense research efforts by the THz s-SNOM community have led to significant  
26 technical and instrumental advances in recent years<sup>13–26</sup>, enabling nano-imaging in the 50 GHz to  
27 5 THz spectral region for accessing local conductivity and phase coexistence.  
28  
29  
30

31 The deep-subwavelength scale spatial resolution achievable via s-SNOM can be largely attributed  
32 to the tight electromagnetic field confinement below the tip apex<sup>27,28</sup>. This fact sometimes leads  
33 to the misconception that the near-field optical contrast is solely governed by the optical properties,  
34 i.e. dielectric constants or optical conductivity, in the sample volume right under the tip apex.  
35 However, recent THz s-SNOM experiments provide some contradicting and counterintuitive yet  
36 fundamentally interesting observations. For example, it has been reported that isolated metallic  
37 structures exhibit a lower near-field contrast compared to large and connected ones, even though  
38 the spatial resolution of THz s-SNOM is much higher than the structure size<sup>22</sup>. It has also been  
39 reported that AFM tips with larger apex radii increase the THz near-field amplitude and contrast,  
40 while leaving the spatial resolution nearly unaffected<sup>28</sup>. In this letter, we describe systematic THz  
41 and mid-IR near-field imaging of a series of metallic nanostructures. These findings suggest that  
42 in addition to intrinsic material properties, the size and configuration of the probed nanostructure  
43 in the deep sub-wavelength regime plays important roles for near-field optical material contrasts.  
44  
45  
46

47 We first use a home-built s-SNOM setup to perform sub-THz near-field imaging. The system  
48 utilizes high-harmonic (12th) generation from a microwave source<sup>15,25</sup>. A signal generator  
49 produces a microwave signal (9 GHz to 14.6 GHz), which is then frequency multiplied through  
50 Schottky diodes. This generates a coherent sub-THz wave (110 GHz to 175 GHz), which is directly  
51 focused onto the tip of an atomic force microscope (AFM) (Bruker Multimode). The AFM is  
52 operating at tapping mode with frequency  $\Omega$ . The second signal generator supplies microwave  
53 radiation at a slightly different frequency of another Schottky diode, which functions as both the  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 detector and the reference light generator. The frequency difference between the incident light and  
4 the reference light is set to be  $\Delta\Omega = 24$  MHz. The second Schottky diode is connected to a Lock-  
5 in amplifier (Zurich HF2LI), which demodulates the signal at  $n\Omega - m\Delta\Omega$  as a mean of phase-  
6 resolved imaging and far-field background suppression<sup>29</sup>. To improve the light coupling efficiency  
7 between the THz wave and the AFM tip<sup>27,28</sup>, we use customized tips (Rocky Mountain  
8 Nanotechnology, LLC) of length about 200  $\mu\text{m}$  and apex radius about 100 nm. The tips are longer  
9 and have a larger apex radius compared to typical AFM tips used for IR or visible s-SNOM, thus  
10 greatly enhancing the THz scattering and improving the signal-to-noise ratio<sup>28</sup>. All of our THz s-  
11 SNOM experiments use a typical tapping frequency of  $\Omega \sim 40$  kHz with a tapping amplitude around  
12 100 nm.  
13  
14  
15

16 We first characterize the spatial resolution of our THz s-SNOM setup via imaging a  $\sim 90$ -nm-thick  
17 by  $\sim 1.5$   $\mu\text{m}$ -wide gold bar antenna on a  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate. AFM topography and near-field amplitude  
18 images demodulated at the first (S1), second (S2), third (S3), and fourth (S4) harmonic orders are  
19 shown in Fig. 1(a). A clear material contrast between gold and  $\text{SiO}_2$  is observed in all of the  
20 demodulated near-field amplitude images. Height and near-field optical signal profiles across the  
21 bar (designated by the white dashed lines) are displayed in Fig. 1(b). The near-field amplitude  
22 signals are normalized to the averaged substrate signal. The near-field optical resolution is similar  
23 to the AFM resolution and is mainly limited by the AFM tip apex radius. When a topographic  
24 boundary is present, it is difficult to precisely evaluate the optical resolution due to the unknown  
25 slope of the edge and edge-darkening artefact<sup>30</sup>. However, based on the edge profile of the optical  
26 signal, we conservatively estimate that the resolutions for AFM and S2 to be 120 nm and 200 nm  
27 based on 90%-10% signal width, similar to previously reported spatial resolution of THz s-SNOM  
28 and other microwave scanning probe techniques<sup>31,32</sup>. Nonetheless, we achieved a near-field  
29 resolution in the order of  $\lambda/10^4$  with 2nd harmonic demodulation at  $\sim 100$  GHz range. Note that  
30 the relative contrast in the S1 image is rather weak due to an undesirable far-field background  
31 signal, which is confirmed by the approach curves in Fig. 1(c), wherein the S1 approach curve  
32 does not significantly subside even at a tip-sample distance of 1  $\mu\text{m}$ , while the S2-S4 curves  
33 quickly decay. Similar to typical IR s-SNOMs, demodulation up to at least the second harmonic  
34 order is necessary in order to effectively suppress the background. Furthermore, statistical analysis  
35 of the signal variation on gold indicates that the S2 signal-to-noise ratio is at least 10:1. The optical  
36 signals appear to be slightly higher on the left side of the gold bar. We attribute this to a scanning  
37 induced artefact due to the uneven surface of the sample.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Fig. 1. Assessment of spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio obtained via THz s-SNOM. (a) Left to right: AFM topographic image and near-field images demodulated at different harmonics of the tip's tapping frequency. (b) Height and near-field optical signal profiles along the white dashed lines in (a). S1 through S4 are normalized to the average substrate signal in their corresponding images to yield a relative contrast. (c) Approach curves for different orders of demodulation on gold bar.

Next we demonstrate that the near-field material contrast of s-SNOM depends strongly on the size of the metallic structures, although the spatial resolution is nearly independent of the structure size. We first study gold disks of diameters from 1 to 5 μm, fabricated on a SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate (AFM image shown in Fig. 2(a)). From common knowledge one could assume an identical near-field material contrast for all disks, except for cases in which antenna effects or polaritonic responses occur (which is not the case here, as disks are of deep subwavelength scale). However, the THz s-SNOM amplitude images show a clear decrease of the near-field amplitude signal of the disk with decreasing disk diameter (Fig. 2(b)). Line profiles across the disk centers (Fig. 2(c)) show the effect more clearly. Note that even the smallest disk size (1 μm diameter) is much larger than the spatial resolution. Only the S2 images are displayed without loss of generality because the S3 and S4 images show the same trend. A qualitatively similar phenomenology has been experimentally observed with scanning probe technique combined with difference-frequency generation where the frequency difference between two incident CO<sub>2</sub> lasers (both at ~10 μm wavelength) is set to 9 GHz<sup>33,34</sup>. The authors observed difference-frequency generation signal from the metallic disks with different sizes. They postulated that the disk-size-dependent difference-frequency generation signal is related to the periodic charge distributions on the tip and the disks induced by the incident radiations at ~10 μm wavelength. This is not applicable in our case because the incident wavelength used in our experiments is orders of magnitude larger than the length of the tip shank and the disk diameter such that the spatially periodic charge distribution is unlikely to form.




Fig. 2. (a) AFM image of a series of micron-sized gold disks. (b) The corresponding second harmonic near-field amplitude image at 171.84 GHz (1.74  $\mu\text{m}$ ), which shows an increasing near-field response with increasing disk diameter. (c) Height and normalized near-field S2 optical signal profiles across the disks in (a) and (b). The height of the disk is constant ( $\sim 80$  nm), while S2 increases with increasing disk diameter. (d) Red squares: average and normalized near-field signal (S2) of the disks with different diameters. Brown and blue curves represent the calculated electric field at the point charge for un-grounded and grounded disks, respectively.

Inspired by previous works on electrostatic force microscopy<sup>35,36</sup>, we propose an electrostatic “toy-model” for our experimental data. The electrostatic limit is justified due to the much longer incident wavelength compared to the size of the tip and the nanostructures. For simplicity, we model the tip by an electrical monopole with charge  $q$  located near the tip apex. Then the electrostatic problem of a point charge above a conducting disk can be solved analytically with a clean solution<sup>37,38</sup>. Suppose the point charge is located at  $(0, 0, z_0)$  above the center of a grounded metal disk of radius  $a$  in the  $x - y$  plane, the potential on the  $z$  axis due to both the point charge and the induced surface charge on the disk is given by<sup>38</sup>:

$$V_1(z) = \frac{2q}{\pi(z^2 - z_0^2)} \left[ z_0 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{z} \right) - z \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{z_0} \right) \right]. \quad (1)$$

If the disk is not grounded, its potential picks up an extra term due to the presence of balancing charges with opposite sign (to make sure the disk is charge neutral) and becomes

$$V_2(z) = V_1(z) + \frac{2q}{a\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{z} \right) \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{z_0} \right). \quad (2)$$

In Fig. 2 (d), we calculate the trend of electric field at the position right below the monopole  $|E_z(z_0 \approx 250 \text{ nm})| = \left| -\frac{dV(z)}{dz} \right|_{z=z_0}$  as a function of disk diameter  $d$  for both grounded (blue curve) and un-grounded (brown curve) scenarios. The balancing charge in the case of un-grounded disks is found to be of significant importance for the change of the electric field, which is consistent with the size dependent trend of the averaged S2 signal of the disks (red squares in Fig. 2(d)). On the other hand, when the disk is grounded, the electric field is predicted to be constant at  $d > 1 \mu\text{m}$ , as we demonstrate below.

In an earlier THz nano-imaging work by Kuschewski et al., small and isolated gold islands have been observed to exhibit lower near-field signal compared to large and connected islands<sup>22</sup>. This was attributed phenomenologically to the weaker polarizability of the small isolated islands. Here using the electrostatic model, we can provide quantitative insights into this intriguing observation. As suggested by equation 1 and the blue curve in Fig. 2(d), if the condition of local charge neutrality is broken by connecting the small disks to the ground or a large gold pad (as a charge reservoir), the near-field signal will be significantly boosted. To test this effect, nano-imaging is performed on two identical gold square patches with one isolated and the other connected to a large gold pad (Fig. 3). Here we use a commercial s-SNOM (Neaspec GmbH, Germany) with a tunable THz gas laser (SIFIR-50, Coherent Inc, USA) operating at 2.52 THz. The scattered light is collected interferometrically in a homodyne scheme by a cryogen-free THz bolometer (QMC Instruments Ltd., Cardiff, U.K.). Simultaneously obtained AFM topography and fourth harmonic near-field amplitude image are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b). Corresponding line profiles across the gold patches and large gold pad are shown in Fig. 3(c). Despite the fact that two gold patches have the same thickness  $\sim 50 \text{ nm}$  and lateral size  $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ , the near-field responses are drastically different. The connected gold patch exhibits a significantly stronger near-field signal (S4) than the isolated one, even slightly stronger than the large gold pad. This observation is consistent with the expectation and supports the validity of our electrostatic analysis. This result can also be directly compared to the work by Kuschewski et al<sup>22</sup>.




Fig. 3 (a) AFM topography image of an isolated gold square patch and a connected gold square patch. (b) The corresponding fourth harmonic near-field image (S4) at 2.52 THz ( $119 \mu\text{m}$ ). (c) Normalized near-field S4 profiles along the indicated dashed lines in (b). The black curve is across the large gold pad. The blue curve is across the connected gold patch. The red curve is across the isolated gold patch.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 Overall, our phenomenological model yields a qualitative interpretation of the observed  
6 phenomenon. However, we postulate that the underlying physics can be much more complex.  
7 Besides the above described effect, other effects may be responsible for the observed size-  
8 dependent near-field contrast which deserves further investigation. For example, beyond the  
9 electrostatic limit, surface inductance is found to be weakened in smaller metallic structures. The  
10 decreased inductance leads to a relatively more important contribution from the disk resistance,  
11 which leads to a higher eddy current damping in the near-field. This may greatly reduce the electric  
12 field intensity thus the near-field scattering signal (this analysis will be reported by S. T. Chui  
13 elsewhere).  
14  
15  
16

17 While a full analytical electrodynamic model remains challenging, full-wave simulations of the  
18 tip-disk-substrate system are more probable and can be carried out using the finite element method  
19 (COMSOL). The scale mismatch among incident wavelength (1.74 mm), tip length (200  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and  
20 tip-sample distance (0-100 nm) makes a rigorous simulation of the near-field signal  
21 computationally expensive<sup>28,30,39-41</sup>. Instead, we use the electric field enhancement factor (electric  
22 field normalized to incident field) inside the tip-sample gap as an indirect gauge for the near-field  
23 interaction, a well-established approach within the community. It was recently demonstrated that  
24 the local field enhancement does not necessarily reflect the scattering intensity when the tip radii  
25 are different<sup>28</sup>. For example, enlarging a tip radius results in weaker local field enhancement even  
26 though it also makes the scattering intensity much higher. However, for a constant tip radius, the  
27 field enhancement and detected near-field signal are expected to be positively correlated<sup>27</sup>. Here  
28 we simulate the total electric field enhancement under the tip volume by modeling the tip as a cone  
29 of 200  $\mu\text{m}$  shank length and 100 nm radius at its bottom, which resembles realistic tip geometry.  
30 The disk on the SiO<sub>2</sub> substrate is set to be 100 nm beneath the tip apex. An incident plane wave of  
31 150 GHz frequency approaches the sample at a 60° angle with respect to the surface normal.  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 The simulation layout and typical electric field distribution are shown in Fig. 4(a). The field inside  
37 the gap between the tip and the disk is closely monitored as the disk size increases from 1 to 5  $\mu\text{m}$ .  
38 To extend the generality of the simulation, we test three categories of material by adjusting the  
39 disk permittivity  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + i\varepsilon_2$  for a good metal ( $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow -\infty, \varepsilon_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ), a dielectric material ( $\varepsilon_1 = 10, \varepsilon_2$   
40  $= 0.1$ ), and a bad metal ( $\varepsilon_1 = -10, \varepsilon_2 = 10$ ). Field enhancement normalized to that of a “vacuum  
41 disk” ( $\varepsilon_1 = 1, \varepsilon_2 = 0$ ) as a function of disk diameter is shown in Fig. 4(b). Of the three materials,  
42 only the good metal exhibits a significant, monotonically increasing field enhancement, as is  
43 consistent with our experimental observations. The field enhancements of the dielectric materials  
44 and bad metals are noticeably less affected by increasing disk diameter due to the lack of mobile  
45 charge carriers.  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 From the simulations, we conclude that the aforementioned size-dependent near-field contrast is  
51 most prominent in good metals, which have significant surface charge or current. The THz near-  
52 field signals of dielectrics or bad metals should not exhibit significant dependence for sample sizes  
53 at the micron scale ( $\sim\lambda/100$ ). Unfortunately, the scale mismatch between the wavelength ( $\sim 2$  mm),  
54 tip length ( $\sim 200$   $\mu\text{m}$ ), disk diameter ( $\sim 1$   $\mu\text{m}$ ), and the tip apex radius (100 nm) currently prevent  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



us from performing further systematic simulations to investigate the fine details of the tip-sample scattering process such as the emission pattern, charge distribution, and dipole moment of the tip<sup>28,41</sup>. These aspects remain to be studied when time and computation power allow in the future.




Fig. 4. (a) Simulation geometry and typical electric field distribution. (b) Normalized electric field in the tip-sample gap as a function of disk diameter for three categories of material: a good metal ( $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow -\infty, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow \infty$ ), a dielectric material ( $\epsilon_1 = 10, \epsilon_2 = 0.1$ ), and a bad metal ( $\epsilon_1 = -10, \epsilon_2 = 10$ ).

For comparison, we examine the size-dependent near-field material contrast in the mid-IR regime. Near-field imaging at  $\sim 6 \mu\text{m}$  is performed via a commercial s-SNOM (Neaspec GmbH, Germany) equipped with a quantum cascade laser (Daylight Solutions, USA). Fig. 5(a) shows S3 amplitude images of the same gold disks previously studied. Compared to the THz near-field images, no significant size-dependence is observed in the optical response of the mid-IR images for disks larger than  $1 \mu\text{m}$ . Average near-field contrast as a function of disk size is plotted in Fig. 5(b) for two different tapping amplitudes. Increasing the tapping amplitude diminishes the overall contrast but has no significant effect on the trend of the optical response versus disk size. Unlike the sub-THz near-field signal, that of mid-IR quickly saturates when the disk size exceeds  $1 \mu\text{m}$ . We further investigate by studying smaller disks of diameter 300 nm to 800 nm, whose responses quickly decay with decreasing disk size, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d). The trend can still be phenomenologically fitted by the quasi-electrostatic analysis in equation (1) with smaller charge-disk distance  $z_0$  but the much shorter wavelength of mid-IR radiation in principle makes the quasi-electrostatic assumption quantitatively invalid. A similar analysis with consideration of the retardation should be more appropriate but it is beyond the scope of this work since a simple analytical form cannot be easily obtained and not much more physical insight is gained from such a treatment.

To demonstrate that this phenomenon is not limited to a particular frequency, mid-IR broadband near-field spectroscopy is performed on the same disk samples. Indeed a similar trend is observed in the whole frequency range between  $400$  and  $1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Supporting Information S2). Furthermore, we find that demodulation order also has a minimal impact on trend of the near-field contrast (Supporting Information S3).

In the end we would like to note that, in some of the gold disks, mid-IR nano-imaging reveals plasmonic patterns that are spatially inhomogeneous. This is because the sizes of these disks are comparable to the incident wavelength where the antenna effect is prominent<sup>39,42–44</sup>. Similar antenna effect is absent in the THz nano-images due to the scale mismatch. To our best knowledge so far there is only one published report on THz near-field imaging of the antenna effects using s-SNOM<sup>45</sup>. Further investigations still await.




Fig. 5. (a) Near-field (S3) images on disks of diameter 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5  $\mu\text{m}$ . (b) Average S3 contrast as a function of disk diameter. The dashed lines indicate the saturation signal level. A is the tip tapping amplitude. (c) Near-field (S3) images of disks of diameter 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, and 800 nm. White circles indicate the boundaries of the disks. (d) Average S3 contrast as a function of disk diameter. The dashed line indicates a linear trend.

Without considering polaritonic or antenna effects, current understanding of s-SNOM suggests that the near-field material contrasts are determined by the local dielectric properties of the sample and data acquisition parameters (tip radius, tapping amplitude, and demodulation order etc.). Therefore, theoretical attempts to calculate the near-field contrast typically model the sample as a homogeneous semi-infinite half-space<sup>2,46–49</sup> or layered planes<sup>50,51</sup>, neglecting lateral inhomogeneity. These assumptions are largely valid for samples of bad metals or dielectric insulators<sup>52,53</sup>. However, our study shows that in metallic structures smaller than  $\sim 1/100 \lambda$  in the THz region and  $\sim 1/10 \lambda$  in the IR region, the sample size can significantly affect the near-field contrast and demands further theoretical investigations. Together with previous reports<sup>54–56</sup> demonstrating the IR near-field resonance effect in metallic structures of size  $\sim 1/10 \lambda$  to  $\sim 1 \lambda$ , this work suggests that geometric factors in metals are indeed important and need to be carefully addressed in the nano-imaging process with s-SNOM<sup>42</sup>.

## Supporting Information

S1: THz nano-imaging on linear antennas with different lengths

S2: Mid-IR broadband spectroscopy on gold disks

S3: Effect of demodulation order on near-field contrast

## Acknowledgment

This research is supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant Nos. 2017YFF0106304, 2016YFF0200306), National Natural Science Foundation of China (61722111), 111 Project (D18014), and International Joint Lab Program supported by Science and Technology Commission Shanghai Municipality (17590750300). Stony Brook University authors acknowledge support from National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-1904576. Part of this work was also supported by the RISE2 node of NASA's Solar System Exploration Research Virtual Institute under NASA Cooperative Agreement 80NSSC19MO2015. The CIC nanoGUNE authors acknowledge support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry, and Competitiveness (national project RTI2018-094830-B-100 and the project MDM-2016-0618 of the Marie de Maeztu Units of Excellence Program) and the H2020 FET OPEN project PETER (GA#767227). G.Y. thanks the Young Eastern Scholar at Shanghai Institutions of Higher Learning. This research used resources of the Advanced Light Source, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Z.Y. acknowledges the support from the ALS Doctoral Fellowship in Residence Program. The authors would like to thank Dr. Oleg Butyaev (NT-MDT), Prof. S. T. Chui (University of Delaware), Dr. Alexander McLeod (Columbia University), and Prof. D. N. Basov (Columbia University) for the helpful discussions.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): R.H. is a co-founder of Neaspec GmbH, a company producing scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscope systems such as the one used in this study. The other authors declare no competing interests.

## References

- (1) Abbe, E. Beiträge Zur Theorie Des Mikroskops Und Der Mikroskopischen Wahrnehmung. *Arch. für Mikroskopische Anat.* **1873**, 9 (1), 413–418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02956173>.
- (2) Hillenbrand, R.; Keilmann, F. Complex Optical Constants on a Subwavelength Scale. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, 85 (14), 3029–3032. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.3029>.
- (3) Chen, X.; Hu, D.; Mescall, R.; You, G.; Basov, D. N.; Dai, Q.; Liu, M. Modern Scattering-Type Scanning Near-Field Optical Microscopy for Advanced Material Research. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, 1804774, 1804774. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201804774>.

- 1  
2  
3 (4) Liu, M.; Sternbach, A. J.; Basov, D. N. Nanoscale Electrodynamics of Strongly Correlated  
4 Quantum Materials. *Reports Prog. Phys.* **2017**, *80* (1), 014501.  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/80/1/014501>.  
6
- 7 (5) Fei, Z.; Andreev, G. O.; Bao, W.; Zhang, L. M.; S. McLeod, A.; Wang, C.; Stewart, M. K.;  
8 Zhao, Z.; Dominguez, G.; Thiemens, M.; et al. Infrared Nanoscopy of Dirac Plasmons at  
9 the Graphene-SiO<sub>2</sub> Interface. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 4701–4705.  
10 <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl202362d>.  
11
- 12 (6) Dai, S.; Fei, Z.; Ma, Q.; Rodin, A. S.; Wagner, M.; McLeod, A. S.; Liu, M. K.; Gannett,  
13 W.; Regan, W.; Watanabe, K.; et al. Tunable Phonon Polaritons in Atomically Thin van Der  
14 Waals Crystals of Boron Nitride. *Science (80-. )*. **2014**, *343* (6175), 1125–1129.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246833>.  
16
- 17 (7) McLeod, A. S.; van Heumen, E.; Ramirez, J. G.; Wang, S.; Saerbeck, T.; Guenon, S.;  
18 Goldflam, M.; Anderegg, L.; Kelly, P.; Mueller, A.; et al. Nanotextured Phase Coexistence  
19 in the Correlated Insulator V2O3. *Nat. Phys.* **2016**, *13* (1), 80–86.  
20 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3882>.  
21
- 22 (8) Chen, J.; Badioli, M.; Alonso-González, P.; Thongrattanasiri, S.; Huth, F.; Osmond, J.;  
23 Spasenović, M.; Centeno, A.; Pesquera, A.; Godignon, P.; et al. Optical Nano-Imaging of  
24 Gate-Tunable Graphene Plasmons. *Nature* **2012**, *487* (7405), 77–81.  
25 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11254>.  
26
- 27 (9) Rang, M.; Jones, A. C.; Zhou, F.; Li, Z.-Y.; Wiley, B. J.; Xia, Y.; Raschke, M. B. Optical  
28 Near-Field Mapping of Plasmonic Nanoprisms. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8* (10), 3357–3363.  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl801808b>.  
30
- 31 (10) Jiang, T.; Kravtsov, V.; Tokman, M.; Belyanin, A.; Raschke, M. B. Ultrafast Coherent  
32 Nonlinear Nanooptics and Nanoimaging of Graphene. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *14* (9), 838–  
33 843. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-019-0515-x>.  
34
- 35 (11) Wehmeier, L.; Lang, D.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Winnerl, S.; Eng, L. M.; Kehr, S. C.  
36 Polarization-Dependent near-Field Phonon Nanoscopy of Oxides: SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, LiNbO<sub>3</sub>, and  
37 PbZr<sub>0.2</sub>Ti<sub>0.8</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* **2019**, *100* (3), 035444.  
38 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.035444>.  
39
- 40 (12) Mittleman, D. M.; Gupta, M.; Neelamani, R.; Baraniuk, R. G.; Rudd, J. V.; Koch, M. Recent  
41 Advances in Terahertz Imaging. *Appl. Phys. B Lasers Opt.* **1999**.  
42 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003400050750>.  
43
- 44 (13) Chen, H.-T.; Kersting, R.; Cho, G. C. Terahertz Imaging with Nanometer Resolution. *Appl.*  
45 *Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *83* (15), 3009–3011. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1616668>.  
46
- 47 (14) Wang, K.; Mittleman, D. M.; Van Der Valk, N. C. J.; Planken, P. C. M. Antenna Effects in  
48 Terahertz Apertureless Near-Field Optical Microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *85* (14),  
49 2715–2717. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1797554>.  
50
- 51 (15) Dai, G.; Geng, G.; Zhang, X.; Wang, J.; Chang, T.; Wang, H.; Cui, H.-L. W-Band Near-  
52 Field Microscope. *IEEE Access* **2019**, *PP* (c), 1–1.  
53 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2907742>.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (16) Aghamiri, N. A.; Huth, F.; Huber, A. J.; Fali, A.; Hillenbrand, R.; Abate, Y. Hyperspectral  
4 Time-Domain Terahertz Nano-Imaging. *Opt. Express* **2019**, *27* (17), 24231.  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.27.024231>.  
6
- 7 (17) Klarskov, P.; Kim, H.; Colvin, V. L.; Mittleman, D. M. Nanoscale Laser Terahertz Emission  
8 Microscopy. *ACS Photonics* **2017**, *4* (11), 2676–2680.  
9 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsp Photonics.7b00870>.  
10
- 11 (18) Alonso-González, P.; Nikitin, A. Y.; Gao, Y.; Woessner, A.; Lundeberg, M. B.; Principi,  
12 A.; Forcellini, N.; Yan, W.; Vélez, S.; Huber, A. J.; et al. Acoustic Terahertz Graphene  
13 Plasmons Revealed by Photocurrent Nanoscopy. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *12* (1), 31–35.  
14 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2016.185>.  
15
- 16 (19) von Ribbeck, H.-G.; Brehm, M.; van der Weide, D. W.; Winnerl, S.; Drachenko, O.; Helm,  
17 M.; Keilmann, F. Spectroscopic THz Near-Field Microscope. *Opt. Express* **2008**, *16* (5),  
18 3430–3438. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.003430>.  
19
- 20 (20) Huber, A. J.; Keilmann, F.; Wittborn, J.; Aizpurua, J.; Hillenbrand, R. Terahertz Near-Field  
21 Nanoscopy of Mobile Carriers in Single Semiconductor Nanodevices. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*  
22 (11), 3766–3770. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl802086x>.  
23
- 24 (21) Lin, K.-T.; Komiyama, S.; Kim, S.; Kawamura, K.; Kajihara, Y. Improved Signal-to-Noise  
25 Ratio in a Passive THz near-Field Microscope Equipped with a Helium-Free Cryostat. In  
26 *2016 41st International Conference on Infrared, Millimeter, and Terahertz waves*  
27 *(IRMMW-THz)*; IEEE, 2016; Vol. 17, pp 1–2. [https://doi.org/10.1109/IRMMW-](https://doi.org/10.1109/IRMMW-THz.2016.7758551)  
28 [THz.2016.7758551](https://doi.org/10.1109/IRMMW-THz.2016.7758551).  
29
- 30 (22) Kuschewski, F.; Von Ribbeck, H. G.; Döring, J.; Winnerl, S.; Eng, L. M.; Kehr, S. C.  
31 Narrow-Band near-Field Nanoscopy in the Spectral Range from 1.3 to 8.5 THz. *Appl. Phys.*  
32 *Lett.* **2016**, *108* (11). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4943793>.  
33
- 34 (23) Stinson, H. T.; Sternbach, A.; Najera, O.; Jing, R.; Mcleod, A. S.; Slusar, T. V.; Mueller,  
35 A.; Anderegg, L.; Kim, H. T.; Rozenberg, M.; et al. Imaging the Nanoscale Phase  
36 Separation in Vanadium Dioxide Thin Films at Terahertz Frequencies. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**,  
37 *9* (1), 3604. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05998-5>.  
38
- 39 (24) Zhang, J.; Chen, X.; Mills, S.; Ciavatti, T.; Yao, Z.; Mescall, R.; Hu, H.; Semenenko, V.;  
40 Fei, Z.; Li, H.; et al. Terahertz Nanoimaging of Graphene. *ACS Photonics* **2018**, *5* (7), 2645–  
41 2651. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsp Photonics.8b00190>.  
42
- 43 (25) Liewald, C.; Mastel, S.; Hesler, J.; Huber, A. J.; Hillenbrand, R.; Keilmann, F. All-  
44 Electronic Terahertz Nanoscopy. *Optica* **2018**, *5* (2), 159.  
45 <https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.5.000159>.  
46
- 47 (26) Giordano, M. C.; Mastel, S.; Liewald, C.; Columbo, L. L.; Brambilla, M.; Viti, L.; Politano,  
48 A.; Zhang, K.; Li, L.; Davies, A. G.; et al. Phase-Resolved Terahertz Self-Detection near-  
49 Field Microscopy. *Opt. Express* **2018**, *26* (14), 18423.  
50 <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.26.018423>.  
51
- 52 (27) Mastel, S.; Lundeberg, M. B.; Alonso-González, P.; Gao, Y.; Watanabe, K.; Taniguchi, T.;  
53 Hone, J.; Koppens, F. H. L.; Nikitin, A. Y.; Hillenbrand, R. Terahertz Nanofocusing with  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Cantilevered Terahertz-Resonant Antenna Tips. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17* (11), 6526–6533.  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b01924>.  
5
- 6 (28) Maissen, C.; Chen, S.; Nikulina, E.; Govyadinov, A.; Hillenbrand, R. Probes for Ultra-  
7 Sensitive THz Nanoscopy. *ACS Photonics* **2019**, *acsphotonics.9b00324*.  
8 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.9b00324>.  
9
- 10 (29) Stefanon, I.; Blaize, S.; Bruyant, A.; Aubert, S.; Lerondel, G.; Bachelot, R.; Royer, P.  
11 Heterodyne Detection of Guided Waves Using a Scattering-Type Scanning near-Field  
12 Optical Microscope. *Opt. Expr.* **2005**, *13* (14), 5553–5564.  
13
- 14 (30) Mastel, S.; Govyadinov, A. A.; Maissen, C.; Chuvilin, A.; Berger, A.; Hillenbrand, R.  
15 Understanding the Image Contrast of Material Boundaries in IR Nanoscopy Reaching 5 Nm  
16 Spatial Resolution. *ACS Photonics* **2018**, *5* (8), 3372–3378.  
17 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.8b00636>.  
18
- 19 (31) Moon, K.; Do, Y.; Lim, M.; Lee, G.; Kang, H.; Park, K.-S.; Han, H. Quantitative Coherent  
20 Scattering Spectra in Apertureless Terahertz Pulse Near-Field Microscopes. *Appl. Phys.*  
21 *Lett.* **2012**, *101* (1), 011109. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4733475>.  
22
- 23 (32) Knoll, B.; Keilmann, F.; Kramer, A.; Guckenberger, R. Contrast of Microwave Near-Field  
24 Microscopy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *70* (20), 2667–2669. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.119255>.  
25
- 26 (33) Völcker, M.; Krieger, W.; Walther, H. Laser-Frequency Mixing in a Scanning Force  
27 Microscope and Its Application to Detect Local Conductivity. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B*  
28 *Microelectron. Nanom. Struct.* **1994**, *12* (3), 2129. <https://doi.org/10.1116/1.587723>.  
29
- 30 (34) Völcker, M.; Krieger, W.; Walther, H. Detection of Local Conductivity by Laser-frequency  
31 Mixing in a Scanning Force Microscope. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1993**, *74* (9), 5426–5431.  
32 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.354249>.  
33
- 34 (35) Gomila, G.; Gramse, G.; Fumagalli, L. Finite-Size Effects and Analytical Modeling of  
35 Electrostatic Force Microscopy Applied to Dielectric Films. *Nanotechnology* **2014**, *25* (25),  
36 255702. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/25/25/255702>.  
37
- 38 (36) Hu, J.; Xiao, X.; Salmeron, M. Scanning Polarization Force Microscopy: A Technique for  
39 Imaging Liquids and Weakly Adsorbed Layers. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1995**, *67* (4), 476–478.  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.114541>.  
41
- 42 (37) Davis, L. C.; Reitz, J. R. Solution to Potential Problems near a Conducting Semi-Infinite  
43 Sheet or Conducting Disk. *Am. J. Phys.* **1971**, *39* (10), 1255–1265.  
44 <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1976616>.  
45
- 46 (38) Friedberg, R. The Electrostatics and Magnetostatics of a Conducting Disk. *Am. J. Phys.*  
47 **1993**, *61* (12), 1084–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.17355>.  
48
- 49 (39) Chen, X.; Lo, C. F. B.; Zheng, W.; Hu, H.; Dai, Q.; Liu, M. Rigorous Numerical Modeling  
50 of Scattering-Type Scanning near-Field Optical Microscopy and Spectroscopy. *Appl. Phys.*  
51 *Lett.* **2017**, *111* (22), 223110. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5008663>.  
52
- 53 (40) Esteban, R.; Vogelgesang, R.; Kern, K. Full Simulations of the Apertureless Scanning near  
54 Field Optical Microscopy Signal: Achievable Resolution and Contrast. *Opt. Express* **2009**,  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- 17 (4), 2518. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.17.002518>.
- (41) Brehm, M.; Schliesser, A.; Cajko, F.; Tsukerman, I.; Keilmann, F. Antenna-Mediated Back-Scattering Efficiency in Infrared near-Field Microscopy. *Opt. Express* **2008**, *16* (15), 11203. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.16.011203>.
- (42) Chui, S. T.; Chen, X.; Hu, H.; Hu, D.; Dai, Q.; Liu, M. Photo-Induced Charge Density Distribution in Metal Surfaces and Its Extraction with Apertureless near-Field Optics. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2019**, *31* (24), 24LT01. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/ab0fb3>.
- (43) Xu, Y.; Tucker, E.; Boreman, G.; Raschke, M. B.; Lail, B. A. Optical Nanoantenna Input Impedance. *ACS Photonics* **2016**, *3* (5), 881–885. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsp Photonics.6b00128>.
- (44) Alonso-Gonzalez, P.; Schnell, M.; Sarriugarte, P.; Sobhani, H.; Wu, C.; Arju, N.; Khanikaev, A.; Golmar, F.; Albella, P.; Arzubiaga, L.; et al. Real-Space Mapping of Fano Interference in Plasmonic Metamolecules. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11* (9), 3922–3926. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl2021366>.
- (45) Degl’Innocenti, R.; Wallis, R.; Wei, B.; Xiao, L.; Kindness, S. J.; Mitrofanov, O.; Braeuninger-Weimer, P.; Hofmann, S.; Beere, H. E.; Ritchie, D. A. Terahertz Nanoscopy of Plasmonic Resonances with a Quantum Cascade Laser. *ACS Photonics* **2017**, *4* (9), 2150–2157. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsp Photonics.7b00687>.
- (46) Cvitkovic, A.; Ocelic, N.; Hillenbrand, R. Analytical Model for Quantitative Prediction of Material Contrasts in Scattering-Type near-Field Optical Microscopy. *Opt. Express* **2007**, *15* (14), 8550. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.008550>.
- (47) McLeod, A. S.; Kelly, P.; Goldflam, M. D.; Gainsforth, Z.; Westphal, A. J.; Dominguez, G.; Thiemens, M. H.; Fogler, M. M.; Basov, D. N. Model for Quantitative Tip-Enhanced Spectroscopy and the Extraction of Nanoscale-Resolved Optical Constants. *Phys. Rev. B* **2014**, *90* (8), 085136. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.085136>.
- (48) Jiang, B.-Y.; Zhang, L. M.; Castro Neto, A. H.; Basov, D. N.; Fogler, M. M. Generalized Spectral Method for Near-Field Optical Microscopy. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2016**, *119* (5), 054305. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4941343>.
- (49) Mooshammer, F.; Sandner, F.; Huber, M. A.; Zizlsperger, M.; Weigand, H.; Plankl, M.; Weyrich, C.; Lanius, M.; Kampmeier, J.; Mussler, G.; et al. Nanoscale Near-Field Tomography of Surface States on (Bi 0.5 Sb 0.5 ) 2 Te 3. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, *18* (12), 7515–7523. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnanolett.8b03008>.
- (50) Hauer, B.; Engelhardt, A. P.; Taubner, T. Quasi-Analytical Model for Scattering Infrared near-Field Microscopy on Layered Systems. *Opt. Express* **2012**, *20* (12), 13173. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.20.013173>.
- (51) Zhang, L. M.; Andreev, G. O.; Fei, Z.; McLeod, A. S.; Dominguez, G.; Thiemens, M.; Castro-Neto, A. H.; Basov, D. N.; Fogler, M. M. Near-Field Spectroscopy of Silicon Dioxide Thin Films. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *85* (7), 075419. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.075419>.
- (52) Huber, A. J.; Kazantsev, D.; Keilmann, F.; Wittborn, J.; Hillenbrand, R. Simultaneous IR


- 1  
2  
3 Material Recognition and Conductivity Mapping by Nanoscale Near-Field Microscopy. *Adv.*  
4 *Mater.* **2007**, *19* (17), 2209–2212. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200602303>.  
5  
6 (53) Govyadinov, A. A.; Mastel, S.; Golmar, F.; Chuvilin, A.; Carney, P. S.; Hillenbrand, R.  
7 Recovery of Permittivity and Depth from Near-Field Data as a Step toward Infrared  
8 Nanotomography. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8* (7), 6911–6921. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn5016314>.  
9  
10 (54) Dorfmueller, J.; Dregely, D.; Esslinger, M.; Khunsin, W.; Vogelgesang, R.; Kern, K.;  
11 Giessen, H. Near-Field Dynamics of Optical Yagi-Uda Nanoantennas. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*  
12 (7), 2819–2824. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl201184n>.  
13  
14 (55) Robertson, J. Near-Field Optical Imaging of Noble Metal Nanoparticles. *Eur. Phys. J. Appl.*  
15 *Phys.* **2004**, *28*, 265–291. <https://doi.org/10.1051/epjap>.  
16  
17 (56) Schnell, M.; Garcia-Etxarri, A.; Alkorta, J.; Aizpurua, J.; Hillenbrand, R. Phase-Resolved  
18 Mapping of the Near-Field Vector and Polarization State in Nanoscale Antenna Gaps. *Nano*  
19 *Lett.* **2010**, *10* (9), 3524–3528. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl101693a>.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 For Table of Contents Use Only  
4  
5  
6

## 7 **THz near-field imaging of extreme subwavelength metal structures**

9 Xinzhong Chen, Xiao Liu, Xiangdong Guo, Shu Chen, Hai Hu, Elizaveta Nikulina, Xinlin Ye,  
10 Ziheng Yao, Hans A. Bechtel, Michael C. Martin, G. Lawrence Carr, Qing Dai, Songlin Zhuang,  
11 Qing Hu, Yiming Zhu, Rainer Hillenbrand, Mengkun Liu, Guanjun You  
12  
13  
14  
15



25 This graph describes the near-field contrast of two gold patches: one connected to a large gold pad  
26 (left) and one isolated (right). The isolated gold patch exhibits significantly lower THz near-field  
27 response due to the charge neutrality constraint.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60